

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUL 10 2023

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 23-30010

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No. 9:13-cr-00034-DWM-1

v.

MEMORANDUM*

JONATHAN LEE OLIVER,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Montana
Donald W. Molloy, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 26, 2023**

Before: CANBY, S.R. THOMAS, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

Jonathan Lee Oliver appeals from the district court's judgment revoking supervised release and imposing a sentence of 45 days, plus 32 months of supervised release. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Oliver's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80-81 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

AFFIRMED.